

Multithreading in C++ and C#

Máté Cserép

Eötvös Loránd University, Faculty of Informatics
April 2019, Budapest

Parallel computing

- Computers can carry out multiple tasks parallelly.
- Parallel computing is often a requirement for even simple applications
 - e.g. a basic word processor should handle user input regardless whether it is being busy with updating the user interface, semantic analysis, etc.
- C++ and C# have multiple tools to support parallel programming.

Parallel computing

Process

- Contains a complete execution environment and runtime resources, like memory.
- By default our C++/C# program runs as a single process.

Thread

- A process may contain multiple threads.
- Which share virtual address space and system resources.
- Threads are more lightweight compared to processes.
- Each process starts with an initial thread, often called primary or main thread.

We will focus on multi thread programming.

Multithreading in C++

“ Multithreading is just one damn thing after, before, or simultaneous with another.

Andrei Alexandrescu

Multithreading in C++

Beyond the errors which can occur in single-threaded programs, multithreaded environments are subject to additional errors:

- Race conditions
- Deadlock, livelock
- Priority failures (priority inversion, starvation, etc.)

Moreover testing and debugging of multithreaded programs are harder. Multithreaded programs are *non-deterministic*. Failures are often *non-repeatable*. Debugged code can produce very different results than non-debugged ones. Testing on single processor hardware may produce different results than testing on multiprocessor hardware.

Atomicity

In C++11 this is undefined behaviour, in C++98/03 not even that.

```
int x, y;  
  
// thread 1  
x = 1;  
y = 2;
```

```
// thread 2  
std::cout << y << ", "  
std::cout << x << std::endl;
```

Workaround with mutexes:

```
int x, y;  
std::mutex x_mutex, y_mutex;  
  
// thread 1  
x_mutex.lock();  
x = 1;  
x_mutex.unlock();  
y_mutex.lock();  
y = 2;  
y_mutex.unlock();
```

```
// thread 2  
y_mutex.lock();  
std::cout << y << ", "  
y_mutex.unlock();  
x_mutex.lock();  
std::cout << x << std::endl;  
x_mutex.unlock();
```

Workaround with atomic:

```
std::atomic<int> x, y;  
  
// thread 1  
x.store(1);  
y.store(2);
```

```
// thread 2  
std::cout << y.load() << ", "  
std::cout << x.load() << std::endl;
```

Threads

```
namespace std
{
    class thread
    {
        public:
            typedef native_handle /* ... */;
            typedef id /* ... */;

            thread() noexcept;           // does not represent a thread
            thread(thread&& other) noexcept; // move constructor
            ~thread();                  // if joinable() calls std::terminate()

            template <typename Function, typename... Args> // copies args to thread local
            explicit thread(Function&& f, Arg&&... args); // then execute f with args

            thread(const thread&) = delete;           // no copy
            thread& operator=(thread&& other) noexcept; // move
            void swap(thread& other);                // swap

            bool joinable() const; // thread object owns a physical thread
            void join();          // blocks current thread until *this finish
            void detach();        // separates physical thread from the thread object

            std::thread::id get_id() const;           // std::this_thread
            static unsigned int hardware_concurrency(); // supported concurrent threads
            native_handle_type native_handle();        // e.g. thread id
    };
}
```

Typesafe parameter passing to the thread

Creates a new thread of execution with t , which calls $f(3, "hello")$, where arguments are copied (as is) into an internal storage (even if the function takes them as reference).

```
void f(int i, const std::string& s);

std::thread t(f, 3, "Hello");
```

If an exception occurs, it will be thrown in the hosting thread.

f can be any callable function, e.g. *operator()*:

```
class f
{
public:
    f(int i = 0, std::string s = "") : _i(i), _s(s) { }
    void operator()() const
    {
        // background activity
    }
    int _i;
    std::string _s;
};

std::thread t(f());           // Most vexing parse (Scott Meyers: Effective STL)
std::thread t((f(3, "Hello"))); // OK
```

Passing parameter by reference

By default all arguments are copied by value, even if the function takes them as reference.

```
void f(int i, const std::string&)
{
    std::cout << "Hello Concurrent World!" << std::endl;
}

int main()
{
    int i = 3;
    std::string s("Hello");

    // Will copy both i and s
    // std::thread t(f, i, s);

    // We can prevent the copy by using reference wrapper
    std::thread t(f, std::ref(i), std::ref(s));

    // If the thread destructor runs and the thread is joinable, then
    // std::system_error will be thrown.

    // Use join() or detach() to avoid that.
    t.join();
    return 0;
}
```

Alternative destructor strategies

Scott Meyers

- Implicit join: the destructor waits until the thread execution is completed. Hard to detect performance issues.
- Implicit detach: destructor runs, but the underlying thread will still run. Destructor may free memory but the thread may try to access them.

Possible problems

Still, there is possible to make wrong code (of course, this is C++).
Better to avoid pointers and references, or *join()*.

```
struct func
{
    int& i;
    func(int& i_) : i(i_) { }

    void operator()()
    {
        for(unsigned int j = 0; j < 1000000; ++j)
        {
            do_something(i); // i may refer to a destroyed variable
        }
    }
};

int main()
{
    int some_local_state = 0;
    func my_func(some_local_state);
    std::thread my_thread(my_func);
    my_thread.detach(); // don't wait the thread to finish
    return 0;
} // some_local_state is destroyed, but the thread is likely still running.
```

Possible problems

```
void f(int i, const std::string& s);  
  
std::thread t(f, 3, "Hello");
```

"Hello" is passed to *f* as *const char ** and converted to *std::string* in the new thread. This can lead to problems, e.g.:

```
void f(int i, const std::string& s);  
  
void bad(int some_param)  
{  
    char buffer[1024];  
    sprintf(buffer, "%i", some_param);  
    std::thread t(f, 3, buffer);  
    t.detach();  
}  
  
void good(int some_param)  
{  
    char buffer[1024];  
    sprintf(buffer, "%i", some_param);  
    std::thread t(f, 3, std::string(buffer));  
    t.detach();  
}
```

Threads with STL

std::thread is compatible with the STL containers.

```
void do_work(unsigned id);

int main()
{
    std::vector<std::thread> threads;
    for(unsigned i=0;i<20;++i)
    {
        threads.push_back(std::thread(do_work,i));
    }

    std::for_each(threads.begin(), threads.end(),
                 [](std::thread& t) { t.join(); }); // join all threads

    std::for_each(threads.begin(), threads.end(),      // alternative:
                 std::mem_fn(&std::thread::join)); // generates functor for function
    return 0;
}
```

Synchronization objects

Mutex:

```
std::mutex m;
int sh; // shared data

void f()
{
    /* ... */
    m.lock();
    // manipulate shared data:
    sh += 1;
    m.unlock();
    /* ... */
}
```

Recursive mutex:

```
std::recursive_mutex m;
int sh; // shared data

void f(int i)
{
    /* ... */
    m.lock();
    // manipulate shared data:
    sh += 1;
    if (--i > 0) f(i);
    m.unlock();
    /* ... */
}
```

Synchronization objects

Timed mutex:

```
std::timed_mutex m;
int sh; // shared data

void f()
{
    /* ... */
    if (m.try_lock_for(std::chrono::seconds(10))) {
        // we got the mutex, manipulate shared data:
        sh += 1;
        m.unlock();
    }
    else {
        // we didn't get the mutex; do something else
    }
}
void g()
{
    /* ... */
    if (m.try_lock_until(midnight)) {
        // we got the mutex, manipulate shared data:
        sh+=1;
        m.unlock();
    }
    else {
        // we didn't get the mutex; do something else
    }
}
```

Locks

Locks support the Resource Allocation Is Initialization (RAII) idiom.

```
std::list<int> l;
std::mutex m;

void add_to_list(int value);
{
    // lock acquired - with RAII style lock management
    std::lock_guard<std::mutex> guard(m);
    l.push_back(value);
} // lock released
```

**Pointers or references pointing out from
the guarded area can be an issue!**

Deadlocks

The code below can result in a deadlock when $a < b$ and $b < a$ are simultaneously evaluated on 2 threads.

```
template <class T>
bool operator<(const T& lhs, const X& rhs)
{
    if (&lhs == &rhs)
        return false;

    lhs.m.lock(); rhs.m.lock();
    bool result = lhs.data < rhs.data;
    lhs.m.unlock(); rhs.m.unlock();
    return result;
}
```

Avoid deadlocks

1. Avoid nested locks
2. Avoid user defined call when holding a lock
3. Acquire locks in a fixed order

Deadlocks

A correct solution to avoid deadlock:

```
template <class T>
bool operator<(T const& lhs, X const& rhs)
{
    if (&lhs == &rhs)
        return false;

    // std::lock - locks two or more mutexes
    std::lock(lhs.m, rhs.m);

    // std::adopt_lock - assume the calling thread already has ownership
    std::lock_guard<std::mutex> lock_lhs(lhs.m, std::adopt_lock);
    std::lock_guard<std::mutex> lock_rhs(rhs.m, std::adopt_lock);

    return lhs.data < rhs.data;
}
```

With the lock guards, mutexes are released with RAII.

Deadlocks

Another correct solution with different approach:

```
template <class T>
bool operator<(T const& lhs, X const& rhs)
{
    if (&lhs == &rhs)
        return false;

    // std::unique_lock constructed with defer_lock can be locked
    // manually, by using lock() on the lock object ...
    std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock_lhs(lhs.m, std::defer_lock);
    std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock_rhs(rhs.m, std::defer_lock);
    // lock_lhs.owns_lock() now false

    // ... or passing to std::lock
    std::lock(lock_lhs, lock_rhs); // designed to avoid dead-lock
    // also there is an unlock() member function

    // lock_lhs.owns_lock() now true
    return lhs.data < rhs.data;
}
```

std::unique_lock is moveable, but not copyable. (Not a factor here.)

Singleton Pattern: naïve

```
template <typename T>
class MySingleton
{
public:
    std::shared_ptr<T> instance()
    {
        std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock(resource_mutex);
        if (!resource_ptr)
            resource_ptr.reset(new T(/* ... */));
        lock.unlock();
        return resource_ptr;
    }
private:
    std::shared_ptr<T> resource_ptr;
    mutable std::mutex resource_mutex;
};
```

Problem: while the problematic race condition is connected only to the initialization of the Singleton instance, the critical section is executed for every calls of the *instance()* method. Such an excessive usage of the locking mechanism may cause serious overhead which could not be acceptable.

Singleton Pattern: DCLP

Double-Checked Locking Pattern

```
template <typename T>
class MySingleton
{
public:
    std::shared_ptr<T> instance()
    {
        if (!resource_ptr) // 1
        {
            std::unique_lock<std::mutex> lock(resource_mutex);
            if (!resource_ptr)
                resource_ptr.reset(new T(/* ... */)); // 2
            lock.unlock();
        }
        return resource_ptr;
    }
private:
    std::shared_ptr<T> resource_ptr;
    mutable std::mutex resource_mutex;
};
```

Problem: load in (1) and store in (2) is not synchronized.
This can lead to a bug with non-atomic pointer or integral assignment semantics; or if an overly-aggressive compiler optimizes `resource_ptr` (e.g. storing it in a register).

Singleton Pattern: *call_once*

```
template <typename T>
class MySingleton
{
public:
    std::shared_ptr<T> instance()
    {
        std::call_once(resource_init_flag, init_resource);
        return resource_ptr;
    }
private:
    void init_resource()
    {
        resource_ptr.reset(new T(/* ... */));
    }
    std::shared_ptr<T> resource_ptr;
    std::once_flag resource_init_flag; // can't be moved or copied
};
```

std::call_once is guaranteed to execute its callable parameter exactly once, even if called from several threads.

Singleton Pattern: Meyers singleton

```
class MySingleton;
MySingleton& MySingletonInstance()
{
    static MySingleton _instance;
    return _instance;
}
```

C++11 guarantees that this is thread safe!

Condition variable

Classical producer-consumer example:

```
std::mutex                      my_mutex;
std::queue<data_t>               my_queue;
std::conditional_variable data_cond; // conditional variable

void producer() {
    while (more_data_to_produce())
    {
        const data_t data = produce_data();
        std::lock_guard<std::mutex> prod_lock(my_mutex); // guard the push
        my_queue.push(data);
        data_cond.notify_one(); // notify the waiting thread to evaluate cond.
    }
}

void consumer() {
    while (true)
    {
        std::unique_lock<std::mutex> cons_lock(my_mutex); // not lock_guard
        data_cond.wait(cons_lock, // returns if lambda returns true
                      [&my_queue]{return !my_queue.empty();}); // else unlocks and waits
        data_t data = my_queue.front(); // lock is held here to protect pop...
        my_queue.pop();
        cons_lock.unlock(); // ... until here
        consume_data(data);
    }
}
```

Condition variable

- During the wait the condition variable may check the condition any time, but under the protection of the *mutex* and returns immediately if condition is true.
- Spurious wake: wake up without notification from other thread. Undefined times and frequency, so it is better to avoid functions with side effect. (E.g. using a counter in lambda to check how many notifications were is typically bad.)

Futures and Promises

1. Future is a read-only placeholder view of a variable.
2. Promise is a writable, single assignment container (set the value of future).
3. Futures are results of asynchronous function calls.
When I execute that function I won't get the result, but get a *future* which will hold the result when the function completed.
4. A future is also capable to store exceptions.
5. With shared futures multiple threads can wait for a single shared async result.

Futures

```
int f(int);
void do_other_stuff();

int main()
{
    std::future<int> the_answer = std::async(f, 1);
    do_other_stuff();
    std::cout << "The answer is " << the_answer.get() << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```

The `std::async()` executes the task either in a new thread or on `get()`.

```
// starts in a new thread
auto fut1 = std::async(std::launch::async, f, 1);

// run in the same thread on wait() or get()
auto fut2 = std::async(std::launch::deferred, f, 2);

// default: implementation chooses
auto fut3 = std::async(std::launch::deferred | std::launch::async, f, 3);

// default: implementation chooses
auto fut4 = std::async(f, 4);
```

If no `wait()` or `get()` is called, then the task may not be executed at all.

Futures and exceptions

```
double square_root(double x)
{
    if (x < 0)
    {
        throw std::out_of_range("x is negative");
    }
    return sqrt(x);
}

int main()
{
    std::future<double> fut = std::async(square_root, -1);
    double res = fut.get(); // f becomes ready on exception and rethrows
    return 0;
}
```

Further future methods

- *fut.valid()*: future has a shared state
- *fut.wait()*: wait until result is available
- *fut.wait_for()*: timeout duration
- *fut.wait_until()*: wait until specific time point

Promises

A promise is a tool for passing the return value (or exception) from a thread executing a function to the thread that consumes the result using future.

```
void asyncFun(std::promise<int> myPromise)
{
    int result;
    try
    {
        // calculate the result
        myPromise.set_value(result);
    }
    catch (...)
    {
        myPromise.set_exception(std::current_exception());
    }
}
```

Promises

```
void asyncFun(std::promise<int> myPromise)
{
    int result;
    try
    {
        // calculate the result
        myPromise.set_value(result);
    }
    catch (...)
    {
        myPromise.set_exception(std::current_exception());
    }
}
```

```
int main()
{
    std::promise<int> intPromise;
    std::future<int> intFuture = intPromise.getFuture();
    std::thread t(asyncFun, std::move(intPromise));

    // do other stuff here, while asyncFun is working

    int result = intFuture.get(); // may throw exception
    return 0;
}
```

Packaged task

A higher level tool than promises.

```
double square_root(double x)
{
    if ( x < 0 )
    {
        throw std::out_of_range("x<0");
    }
    return sqrt(x);
}

int main()
{
    double x = 4.0;

    std::packaged_task<double(double)> tsk(square_root);
    std::future<double> fut = tsk.get_future(); // future will be ready when task completes

    std::thread t(std::move(tsk), x); // make sure, task starts immediately
                                    // on different thread
                                    // thread can be joined, detached

    double res = fut.get();           // using the future
    return 0;
}
```

Packaged task implementation

```
template <typename> class my_task;

template <typename R, typename ...Args>
class my_task<R(Args...)>
{
    std::function<R(Args...)> fn;
    std::promise<R> pr;
public:
    template <typename ...Ts>
    explicit my_task(Ts&&... ts) : fn(std::forward<Ts>(ts)...){ }

    template <typename ...Ts>
    void operator()(Ts&&... ts)
    {
        pr.set_value(fn(std::forward<Ts>(ts)...));
    }

    std::future<R> get_future() { return pr.get_future(); }
    // disable copy, default move
};
```

Parallel STL (C++17)

C++17 brings us parallel algorithms, so the well known STL algorithms (*std::find_if*, *std::for_each*, *std::sort*, etc.) get a support for parallel (or *vectorized*) execution.

```
vector<int> v = { /* ... */ };

// standard sequential sort
std::sort(v.begin(), v.end());

// sequential execution
std::sort(std::parallel::seq, v.begin(), v.end());

// permitting parallel execution
std::sort(std::parallel::par, v.begin(), v.end());

// permitting parallel and vectorized execution
std::sort(std::parallel::par_unseq, v.begin(), v.end());
```

Parallel STL (C++17)

Sadly there are not many implementations yet where you can use this new feature.

- GNU GCC: no support
- Clang: no support (soon thanks to Intel's "donation")
- MSVC: partially supported in MSVC 19.14
(Visual Studio 2017 with recent updates)

Multithreading in C#

“ Everybody who learns concurrency thinks they understand it, ends up finding mysterious races they thought weren't possible, and discovers that they didn't actually understand it yet after all.

Herb Sutter

Chair of the ISO C++ standards committee, Microsoft

Atomicity

Atomic data types: *bool, char, byte, sbyte, short, ushort, uint, int, float*, and reference types.

Non-atomic data types: *long, ulong, double, decimal*, etc.

There is no guarantee of atomic read-modify-write, such as in the case of increment or decrement.

Basic atomicity can be achieved through the methods of the *Interlocked* class:

```
class SomeType { /* ... */ }

public static Program {
    public static void Main(string[] args) {

        int x = 41;
        Interlocked.Increment(ref x);      // increment x

        SomeType y = new SomeType();
        SomeType z = new SomeType();
        // ...
        Interlocked.Exchange(ref y, z); // replace y with z
    }
}
```

Blocking: Mutexes

```
public Stack<T>
{
    private Mutex mutex;
    private IList<T> values;

    public Stack()
    {
        mutex = new Mutex();
        values = new List<T>();
    }

    public void Push(T item);
    {
        mutex.WaitOne();
        values.Add(item); // critical section
        mutex.ReleaseMutex();
    }
}
```

Can also wait until a timeout reached:
mutex.WaitOne(Int32) and *mutex.WaitOne(TimeSpan)*

Blocking: Semaphore

```
public Stack<T>
{
    private Semaphore sem;
    private IList<T> values;

    public Stack()
    {
        sem = new Semaphore();
        values = new List<T>();
    }

    public void Push(T item);
    {
        sem.WaitOne();
        values.Add(item); // critical section
        sem.Release();
    }
}
```

Can specify the number of initial entries (ownership) and the maximum number of concurrent entries:

```
Semaphore sem = new Semaphore(0, 3);
```

Blocking: Monitors

```
public Stack<T>
{
    private IList<T> values;

    public Stack()
    {
        values = new List<T>();
    }

    public void Push(T item);
    {
        Monitor.Enter(values);
        values.Add(item); // critical section
        Monitor.Exit(values);
    }
}
```

Same as using the *lock* statement:

```
public void Push(T item);
{
    lock(values)
    {
        values.Add(item); // critical section
    }
}
```

Mutexes vs. Semaphores vs. Monitors

Mutex:

- can be named
- scope is system-wide
- good for synchronising between different processes (applications)

Semaphore:

- can be named
- more lightweight
- maximum scope is application-wide
- good for synchronising between threads

Monitor:

- unnamed
- scope is the same the object it locks on
 - maximum scope is application-wide

Concurrent collections

Thread-safe, mutually exclusive collections are part of the .NET Framework, under the *System.Collections.Concurrent* namespace

- *ConcurrentBag, ConcurrentDictionary, ConcurrentQueue, ConcurrentStack, BlockingCollection* (producer-consumer)
- The signature of their operations are a little different, but they also inherit the usual interfaces, e.g.:

```
 IDictionary<String., Object> dictionary =  
     new ConcurrentDictionary<String, Object>();
```

Threads

```
class Program {
    public static void DoWork() {
        Console.WriteLine("Child thread starts");

        Console.WriteLine("Child thread goes to sleep");
        Thread.Sleep(5000); // the thread is paused for 5000 milliseconds
        Console.WriteLine("Child thread resumes and finishes");
    }

    static void Main(string[] args) {
        ThreadStart childJob = new ThreadStart(DoWork);
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread starts");

        Thread childThread = new Thread(childJob);
        childThread.Start();

        Console.WriteLine("Main thread waiting");
        childThread.Join();
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread finishes");
    }
}
```

[See code example](#)

Threads: passing parameters

```
class Program {
    public static void DoWork(object obj) {
        Console.WriteLine("Child thread starts");

        if (obj is String)
            Console.WriteLine(obj as String);
        else
            throw new ArgumentException("Parameter is not a string.", nameof(obj));

        Console.WriteLine("Child thread goes to sleep");
        Thread.Sleep(5000); // the thread is paused for 5000 milliseconds
        Console.WriteLine("Child thread resumes and finishes");
    }

    static void Main(string[] args) {
        ParameterizedThreadStart childJob = new ParameterizedThreadStart(DoWork);
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread starts");

        Thread childThread = new Thread(childJob);
        childThread.Start("Message from Main");

        Console.WriteLine("Main thread waiting");
        childThread.Join();
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread finishes");
    }
}
```

[See code example](#)

Threads

Problems with plain *Thread* objects:

- cannot pass typed parameters
(shared data members can be used)
- cannot return result
shared data members can be used)
- no exception forwarding from child thread to
main thread

Tasks (since .NET 4.0)

- Higher abstraction level solution for asynchronous or delayed computation (compared to Thread)
- Tasks execute an operation given as a lambda-expression (*Action*, *Func*).
- Tasks can be executed by a single method call (*Task.Run*) or can be instantiated and executed late (*Start*). The factory design pattern can also be utilized (*Task.Factory.StartNew*).
- The result of a Task can be retrieved through the *Result* property (will wait to be accessible).

Tasks

```
private Int32 Compute(){ /* ... */ }
// calculation which produces a result

private void RunCompute() {

    Int32 result = Task.Run(() => Compute()).Result;
        // execute task and wait for the result

    // ...
}
```

```
private Int32 Compute(){ /* ... */ }
// calculation which produces a result

private void RunCompute() {
    Task<Int32> myTask = new Task<Int32>(() => Compute());
        // create a task with the job given
    myTask.Start(); // start the task

    // ...

    Int32 result = myTask.Result;
        // wait for the result

    // ...
}
```

Tasks

```
class Program {
    public static int Add(int a, int b) {
        Console.WriteLine("Child thread starts");
        int result = a + b;

        Console.WriteLine("Child thread goes to sleep");
        Thread.Sleep(5000); // the thread is paused for 5000 milliseconds

        Console.WriteLine("Child thread resumes and finishes");
        return result;
    }

    public static void Main(string[] args) {
        int x = 30;
        int y = 12;

        Task<int> task = new Task<int>(() => Add(x, y));
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread starts");
        task.Start();

        Console.WriteLine("Main thread waiting");
        int sum = task.Result; // blocks until result is ready
                               // alternative: task.Wait() and its overloads
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread finishes, sum = {0}", sum);
    }
}
```

See code example

Tasks: exception handling

Unhandled exceptions that are thrown by user code that is running inside a task are propagated back to the calling thread.

Multiple exception can be thrown (e.g. when on waiting multiple child tasks), so the *Task* infrastructure wraps them in an *AggregateException* instance.

```
public static void Main(string[] args) {
    Console.WriteLine("Main thread starts");
    Task<int> taskA = DoWorkAsync(42);
    Task<int> taskB = DoWorkAsync(100);

    Console.WriteLine("Main thread waiting");
    try {
        Task.WaitAll(new Task[] { taskA, taskB });
        // taskA.Result and taskB.Result are available at this point
    }
    catch (AggregateException ae) {
        foreach (var e in ae.InnerExceptions) {
            // handle exception ...
        }
    }
    Console.WriteLine("Main thread finishes");
}
```

Tasks: async / await

```
class Program {
    public static int Add(int a, int b) {
        /* ... */
    }

    public static async Task<int> AddAsync(int a, int b)
    {
        return await Task.Run(() => Add(a, b));
    }

    public static void Main(string[] args) {
        int x = 30;
        int y = 12;

        Console.WriteLine("Main thread starts");
        Task<int> task = AddAsync(x, y);

        Console.WriteLine("Main thread waiting");
        int sum = task.Result;
        Console.WriteLine("Main thread finishes, sum = {0}", sum);
    }
}
```

[See code example](#)

Tasks: `async` / `await`

Since .NET 4.5 methods of standard library which should be run as a background tasks are available as asynchronous operations.

- By convention these methods has the `Async` suffix in their name.
- E.g. I/O operations can be slow (compared to CPU and memory operations):

```
StreamReader reader = new StreamReader("somefile.txt");
String firstLine = await reader.ReadLineAsync();
```

Tasks: cancellation

- Tasks can be gracefully interrupted through a *CancellationToken*.
- The token can be fetched from a *CancellationTokenSource* and the interruption can be achieved by the *Cancel* method.
- This does not abort the task, but we can programmatically check the *IsCancellationRequested* property and cancel the task.

Threads can be terminated through the *Abort* method unconditionally, which is considered an obsolete solution

Tasks: cancellation

```
class Program {

    public static void Main(string[] args) {
        // ...

        CancellationTokenSource source = new CancellationTokenSource(); // token source
        CancellationToken token = source.Token; // token

        Task.Run(() => {
            // ...
            if (token.IsCancellationRequested)
                // if requested
                return; // we cancel the execution
            // ...
        }, token); // pass the cancellation token

        // ...
    }
}
```

Tasks: synchronization

- Tasks can be synchronized using the *TaskScheduler* type.
- Tasks can be initialized with a *TaskScheduler* param.
- The static *FromCurrentSynchronizationContext* method provides an easy solution to synchronize into the current thread.
- Usually we do not want to synchronize the executed operation, be the access to the UI elements.
 - We can execute an outer, asynchronous task.
 - Inside it we can run a synchronized task.
 - After the outer task finished, we can chain further operations with the *ContinueWith* method.

Tasks: synchronization

```
class Program {

    public static void Main(string[] args) {
        // ...

        TaskScheduler scheduler = TaskScheduler.FromCurrentSynchronizationContext();
        // scheduler for synchronization

        Task.Factory.StartNew(() => { ... }, ..., ..., scheduler)
        // the task will be executed synchronously

        Task.Factory.StartNew(() => { ... })
            .ContinueWith(() => { label.Text = "Ready." }, scheduler);
        // the task is executed asynchronously,
        // then executes a synchronous operation
        // to provide a thread-safe way to access the UI

        // ...
    }
}
```